



ISSN: 3049-2017
 IJMHS 2025; 2(6): 94-95
 © 2025 IJMHS
 www.themultijournal.com

Received: 24-11-2025
 Accepted: 17-12-2025
 Publish : 18-12-2025

Radhakanta Kar

Ph.D. Research Scholar of-
 English Literature, GIET-
 University, Gunupur,
 Odisha.

Dr. Samarendra Nayak

Ph.D. Research Supervisor,
 Assistant Professor,
 Gandhi Institute of Engineering-
 and Technology

Correspondence:

Radhakanta Kar

Ph.D. Research Scholar of-
 English Literature, GIET-
 University, Gunupur,
 Odisha.

Polyphony of the Marginalized; Recovering Subaltern Voices in Amitav Ghosh's *Sea of Poppies*: A Research Study

Radhakanta Kar, Dr. Samarendra Nayak

Introduction

Amitav Ghosh's *Sea of Poppies* (2008), the first novel of the Ibis Trilogy, stands as a remarkable intervention in post-colonial historical novel by highlighting voices erased from colonial historiography. Set against the background of the opium trade and the common agreement system of the nineteenth century, the novel reconstructs history not through imperial administrators or colonial elites, but through peasants, lower-caste labourers, women, sailors, and convicts. The narrative resists a monologic or non-interactive historical voice and instead adopts a polyphonic structure that allows multiple marginalized perspectives to coexist. This study examines how *Sea of Poppies* recovers subaltern voices through narrative polyphony, linguistic hybridity, and the representation of collective experience, thereby challenging colonial epistemologies and elite historical discourse.

Polyphony as Narrative Strategy

Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of polyphony, which emphasizes the coexistence of multiple independent voices within a narrative, offers a useful framework for understanding Ghosh's technique. In *Sea of Poppies*, no single authoritative voice dominates the narrative; instead, characters such as Deeti, Kalua, Neel Rattan Halder, Ah Fatt, and Paulette contribute fragmented yet interlinked perspectives. This multiplicity disrupts linear historical narration and reflects what Bakhtin terms a "dialogic imagination" (Bakhtin 6).

Critics like Homi K. Bhabha argue that postcolonial narratives gain political significance when they fracture dominant discourses and allow suppressed voices to speak (Bhabha 171). Ghosh's polyphonic structure ensures that history is not presented as a completed imperial project but as a contested space shaped by ordinary lives. By allowing marginalized characters to narrate their own suffering, displacement, and resistance, the novel undermines the authority of colonial historical records.

Subaltern Voices and the Question of Agency

The concept of the subaltern, articulated by Ranajit Guha and further interrogated by Gayatri Spivak Chakravorty, is central to this analysis. Guha defines subaltern groups as those excluded from elite power structures and official history (Guha 4). In *Sea of Poppies*, Ghosh brings these marginalized groups to the narrative center, particularly peasants devastated by the opium economy and individuals coerced into indenture.

Spivak famously questions whether the subaltern can truly speak within dominant discourses (Spivak 308). Ghosh's response is not to claim full recovery of subaltern speech but to dramatize its partial articulation. Characters like Deeti articulate their trauma through memory, symbols, and silence, suggesting that subaltern voice often emerges in non-traditional narrative forms. The novel thus acknowledges the limitations of representation while still resisting erasure.

Language, Hybridity, and Vernacular Resistance

One of the most distinctive features of *Sea of Poppies* is its radical use of language. Ghosh incorporates Bhojpuri, Hindustani, pidgin English, and Laskari maritime slang, creating a linguistically hybrid narrative space. This strategy aligns with what Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o identifies as resistance to linguistic imperialism (Ngũgĩ 16).

By refusing standardized English, Ghosh destabilizes colonial linguistic hierarchy. As Professor Harish Trivedi notes, such linguistic experimentation allows postcolonial writers to "decolonize the English language from within" (Trivedi 142). The speech patterns of lascars and indentured laborers become markers of identity and survival, transforming language into a site of resistance rather than subjugation.

Collective Experience and the Microcosm of the Ibis

The ship *Ibis* functions as a symbolic and literal space where individual subaltern voices merge into a collective consciousness. Scholars such as Benedict Anderson argue that shared experiences of displacement can give rise to imagined communities (Anderson 6). On board the *Ibis*, differences of caste, religion, and region are renegotiated under conditions of shared suffering.

Ghosh portrays this transformation not as an idealized unity but as a fragile process shaped by coercion and fear. Yet, the formation of a collective identity among the *giritiyas* represents a significant moment of subaltern solidarity. As historian Dipesh Chakrabarty suggests, such moments challenge Eurocentric historical frameworks by introducing alternative temporalities and social formations (Chakrabarty 98).

Women's Voices and Gendered Marginality

The novel places particular emphasis on women's subaltern voices, especially through the character of Deeti. Subjected to caste oppression, sexual violence, and economic dispossession, Deeti's journey from silence to self-assertion embodies what Chandra Talpade Mohanty describes as "feminist resistance from the margins" (Mohanty 52). Her visions and memories function as narrative tools that recover suppressed female histories.

Ghosh's portrayal of women complicates conventional victim narratives by emphasizing resilience and agency. Critics like Susie Tharu argue that such representations expand the scope of subaltern studies by incorporating gender as a crucial axis of analysis (Tharu 211).

Important Critical Views on Rewriting History from Below

Sea of Poppies examines what E. P. Thompson calls "history from below," privileging lived experience over official documentation (Thompson 8). By reconstructing the opium economy through the eyes of those it destroyed, Ghosh exposes the human cost of colonial capitalism. The novel thus serves as a counter-historical text that challenges imperial archives and reclaims suppressed memories.

Professor Gyan Prakash notices that such narratives do not merely add marginalized voices to history but fundamentally alter how history is conceived (Prakash 148). Ghosh's novel transforms fiction into an ethical space where forgotten lives demand recognition.

Conclusion

Through narrative polyphony and linguistic hybridity, *Sea of Poppies* reclaims subaltern voices marginalized by colonial history. Ghosh does not claim to fully restore silenced speech; instead, he foregrounds fragmentation, contradiction, and partial articulation as inherent to subaltern expression. In doing so, the novel challenges monolithic historical narratives and reimagines history as a dialogic, people-centered process. *Sea of Poppies* thus stands as a powerful literary testament to the resilience of marginalized voices and the enduring importance of recovering histories from below.

Keywords

Polyphony; Subaltern Voices; Colonial History; Narrative Hybridity; Indenture; Marginalization; Postcolonial Fiction, *Sea of Poppies*, linguistic hybridity,

Works Cited

- Anderson, Benedict. *Imagined Communities*. Verso, 2006.
- Ashcroft, Bill, et al. *The Empire Writes Back*. Routledge, 2002.
- Bakhtin, Mikhail. *The Dialogic Imagination*. University of Texas Press, 1981.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- Boehmer, Elleke. *Colonial and Postcolonial Literature*. Oxford UP, 2005.
- Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *Provincializing Europe*. Princeton UP, 2000.
- Eagleton, Terry. *Literary Theory: An Introduction*. Blackwell, 1996.
- Ghosh, Amitav. *Sea of Poppies*. Penguin Books, 2008.
- Guha, Ranajit. *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Duke UP, 1999.
- Loomba, Ania. *Colonialism/Postcolonialism*. Routledge, 2015.
- Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. *Feminism Without Borders*. Duke UP, 2003.
- Nayar, Pramod K. *Postcolonial Literature: An Introduction*. Pearson, 2008.
- Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. *Decolonising the Mind*. Heinemann, 1986.
- Prakash, Gyan. *Another Reason: Science and the Imagination of Modern India*. Princeton UP, 1999.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, U of Illinois P, 1988, pp. 271–313.
- Tharu, Susie. *Women Writing in India*. Oxford UP, 1991.
- Thompson, E. P. *The Making of the English Working Class*. Vintage, 1966.
- Trivedi, Harish. "Colonizing the English Language." *The Postcolonial Studies Reader*, edited by Bill Ashcroft et al., Routledge, 2006, pp. 140–144.
- Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Vintage, 1994.
- Young, Robert J. C. *Postcolonialism: An Historical Introduction*. Blackwell, 2001.